

**SANTA BARBARA CITY COLLEGE
COLLEGE PLANNING COUNCIL
DISTRICT TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE**

November 7, 2000

3:00 – 4:30 PM

A218C

MINUTES

PRESENT: J. Friedlander, L. Fairly, B. Fahnestock, S. Ehrlich, B. Hamre, M. Gallegos, B. Cordero, K. McLellan, M. Ferrer, L. Rose, T. Garey, J. Lynn, K. O'Connor, L. Vasquez, J. Webber

ABSENT: A. Serban, K. Richards

1.0 Call to Order

Chairperson Jack Friedlander called the meeting to order at 3:00 p.m.

1.1 M/S/C/ [O'Connor/Garey] to approve of minutes of the October 17, 2000 CPC/DTC meeting with the following correction to the language of item 2.0:

At the suggestion of Bill Hamre, Laurie Vasquez, Kathy O'Connor and Michael Gallegos, Jack Friedlander revised the memo he sent to the college community to include requests for new technology initiatives.

1.2 Announcements/Information Items

Dr. Friedlander attended a workshop on effective hiring practices while at the Chief Instructional Officers conference in late October. Interest was expressed in having the workshop presented on the SBCC campus. Dr. Friedlander will contact Mr. Frank Easterly as to the feasibility of presenting the workshop on November 17th.

1.3 Superintendent/President Peter MacDougall joined CPC to discuss PFE funding and college-wide issues.

Dr. MacDougall reported that the college has \$876,789 in PFE funds that it received in 2000-2001 that has yet to be allocated for 2001-2002. In addition, the Chancellors Office for the California Community Colleges will be requesting additional PFE funds for 2001-2002. If the system's request is approved by the

governor as part of the state budget for 2001-2002, the college may receive an additional \$900,000 to one million dollars in PFE funds. However, we will not know if additional PFE funds will be forthcoming until July, when the state budget for next year is approved.

Unfortunately, the Chancellor's Office has just confirmed that the PFE allocations the college has received for the past three years have not been included in the district's base budget that is augmented by the annual cost of living adjustment (COLA). This means that when the California community colleges received the cost of living adjustments (COLA) in each of the past three years, the COLA was not applied to PFE funds. The bottom line is that salary increases for certificated and classified staff (full-time, part-time, student employees) hired using PFE funds will need to be covered from our existing PFE allocation. This now represents a \$184,593 per year unfunded liability to the district. Therefore, Dr. MacDougall has requested that we deduct from the unallocated PFE funds the \$184,593 needed to cover increases in salaries and benefits for PFE supported positions. As part of its budget request to the state for 2001-2002, the Chancellor's Office is requesting that PFE funds be placed in the base of the college's budget.

If the system's request for additional PFE funds is approved by the governor as part of the state's 2001-2002 budget, up to one-half of these funds or \$500,000 of the college's allocation would be approved for funding on-going projects. Again, this assumes the COLA issue is resolved (i.e., PFE funds are included in the base budget for California community colleges). The remaining PFE funds would be used to support one-time resource requests and other on-going requests that may arise after July 2001.

Thus, at this point in time, Dr. MacDougall is requesting that we limit the ranking of ongoing resource requests to \$850,000. The \$850,000 would be comprised of \$350,000 of the unallocated PFE funds we have received for 2000-2001, and \$500,000 from the possible PFE allocation to the district if these funds are approved by the governor as part of the state's 2001-2002 budget. The remaining PFE funds would be used to support one-time resource requests and other ongoing requests that may arise after July 2001.

Dr. MacDougall is now asking CPC to proceed with its ranking but that proposals for ongoing funding will not be funded until after the 2001-2002 state budget is announced.

For 2000-2001, Dr. MacDougall requested that the council rank the resource requests for 2000-2001. However, none of the requests for ongoing funds will be distributed until (1) we know what the state budget is for 2000-2001; and (2) until we identify what other demands might be made of the PFE funds that need to be considered. What he is proposing is that we consider up to \$350,000 of one-time PFE requests for possible distribution and that we hold the remaining \$350,000

until we have a full understanding of the state budget and other demands on those funds. Dr. MacDougall requested that the council follow the procedures outlined in Dr. Friedlander's memo regarding its allocation of one-time and ongoing funding. However, Dr. MacDougall requested that the council not distribute any of the ongoing resource requests until the state budget for 2001-2002 is known after July, 2001, and until there is a full understanding of what other demands might be made of those funds. Therefore it is likely that funds may not be released until October or November of 2001.

Members of the council asked how the remaining unallocated PFE dollars for this year were going to be distributed. Dr. MacDougall explained that of the \$900,000 of unallocated PFE dollars for 2000-2001 of \$184,593 was needed for the unfunded COLA. An additional \$100,000 was allocated to each of the vice presidents for one-time uses which leaves \$615,407. Dr. MacDougall stated that it will take us another month or so until we know what other major demands might be made for those funds. These include major facilities projects such as the remodels for Cosmetology and the LSG Building, paying for furniture for new classrooms the college will be sharing with the county at the county's off-campus sites and other possible needs. Dr. MacDougall stated that he would submit a request within a month or two to the council for resources needed to pay for these major projects. Once the needs of the district are known, then the council will be a position to allocate the balance of the funds for other needs.

Dr. MacDougall then reported on the status of three major facilities projects. The bids for the LSG remodel came in close to a million dollars over what was budgeted. A request has been made to the state for an augmentation of \$1 million to fully fund the project. The decision will be made on Friday, November 10th on whether or not the district's request for the \$1 million augmentation will be approved.

Dr. MacDougall also reported on the status of and the costs for the Castillo Point Project and the Luria Towbes Sculpture Fountain. The costs for the projects are noted below [*hard copy distributed in mailbox*].

Castillo Point Project - Winslow Maxwell Overlook

	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Foundation</u>	<u>District (Construction/Rehab Fund)</u>
Architect Fees	50,000	36,000	14,000
Test/ Inspection	35,000		35,000
Overlook	599,000	599,000	
Parking / Turnaround	58,000		58,000
	742,000	635,000	107,000

Tests/Inspection
Archeology
Geology
Native American Monitoring

Luria Towbes Sculpture Fountain

	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Foundation</u>	<u>District (Construction/Rehab Fund)</u>
Architect	50,000		50,000
Concrete	20,000		20,000
Soils	5,000		5,000
Construction	200,000	200,000	
Sculpture	150,000	150,000	
	425,000	350,000	

Dr. MacDougall announced a significant donation from Cottage Health Systems for the Associate Degree Nursing (ADN) Program. Cottage Health Systems will fund four full-time tenure track Associate Degree Nursing faculty positions and a portion of the additional support costs associated with increasing by 40 the number of students admitted into the ADN program. The college is expected to fund at least one additional ADN position.

2.0 Discussion Items

2.1 Proposal for Web Strategic Planning and Network Infrastructure Assessment

Bill Hamre presented and outlined a proposal from COLLEGIS Strategic Services (CSS) for a Web Strategic Plan and Network Infrastructure Assessment. The objective of this proposal between CSS and SBCC is the development of a three-year strategic plan for the use of web-based technology for delivery of instruction, faculty support, student services and support of administrative functions. CSS will provide senior consultants and technical expertise to assist SBCC in the development of a three-year web strategic business plan and in the assessment of its network infrastructure, including options for out-sourcing all or part of its web-based services. This engagement will take place between November 15, 2000 and March 31, 2001. CSS proposes a fee of \$35,000 plus travel for services to assist SBCC to achieve the objectives listed in the contract.

2.2 SBCC Telecommunications and Technology Infrastructure Program

Bill Hamre summarized the major components in the handout he distributed of the college's the 2000-2001 Telecommunications Expenditure Plan. In compliance with the requirements of the 2000-2001 State Budget Act, the Board of Trustees of the Santa Barbara Community College District adopted the plan for use of State Telecommunications funds allocated to the district in the 2000-2001 fiscal year. Vice President Hamre outlined Areas 1 through 6 of the plan and its intended expenditure of funds from these areas.

M/S/C [O'Connor/Rose] to move to action at the November 21st CPC/DTC meeting the approval of the SBCC Telecommunications and Technology Infrastructure Program

3.0 Action Item

3.1 Approval of request to conduct an alternative institutional self-study for accreditation

Dr. MacDougall is recommending that the council approve the request for the alternative self-study. The Academic Senate has endorsed this request.

M/S/C [Rose/Garey] to conduct an alternative institutional self-study for accreditation.

4.0 Adjournment

Chairperson Jack Friedlander adjourned the meeting at 4:30 p.m.
M/S/C [O'Connor/Rose]