

**SANTA BARBARA CITY COLLEGE**  
**COLLEGE PLANNING COUNCIL**  
**May 4, 1999**

**MINUTES**

**PRESENT:** Jack Friedlander, Chair, Lynda Fairly, Robin Goodnough, Bill Hamre, Charles Hanson, Jim Lynn, Kathy O'Connor, Dan Oroz, John Romo, Lana Rose, Jack Ullom (for Karolyn Hanna)

**GUESTS:** Dr. MacDougall and Sharon Coffield

**EXCUSED:** Karolyn Hanna, Joey Ferguson (Student Body Rep)

**1.0 CALL TO ORDER**

1.1 The meeting was called to order at 3:06 p.m.

**2.0 APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

2.1 *M/S/C to approve the minutes of April 20, 1999 (O'Connor/Goodnough) with two abstentions (Lynn/Ullom)*

**3.0 FULL REVIEW OF COLLEGE PLAN FOR 1999-2002**

3.1 A revised draft of the college plan to include the changes recommended at this meeting of the College Planning Council will be presented at the May 5, 1999 meeting of the Academic Senate. The Academic Senate will be asked to approve the College Plan for 1999-2002 at this meeting.

**4.0 REVIEW OF PROCESS FOR IDENTIFYING ACTIVITIES FOR ACHIEVING GOALS AND OBJECTIVES OF THE COLLEGE PLAN**

**4.1 Persons responsible for coordinating the plans for achieving each of the goals in the College Plan**

This item was deferred until the May 18, 1999 meeting of the College Planning Council.

#### **4.2 Timeline for completing the plans for achieving the goals and objectives of the College Plan**

This item was deferred until the May 18, 1999 meeting of the College Planning Council.

### **5.0 DISCUSSION OF PROCESS FOR FUNDING "EMERGENCY" REQUESTS**

Dr. MacDougall shared copies of two letters sent to Thomas Nussbaum, Chancellor of the California Community Colleges, that provided recommendations to the 1999-2000 legislative program and the college's recommendations for items to be included in the state budget. He also expressed his appreciation to the College Planning Council and the Academic Senate for their efforts in identifying specific recommendations to include in the Chancellor's Office requests for legislative and budget initiatives. Dr. MacDougall reviewed the recommendations to be included in each of the letters he forwarded to Chancellor Nussbaum.

Partnership for Excellence funds were discussed. Dr. MacDougall explained the process that was used to determine the prior year allocation and distribution of Partnership for Excellence funds. At present, the 1999 - 2000 Partnership for Excellence Fund allocations for the system will be \$10 million, \$70,000 of which would be allocated to SBCC. However, given the projected budget surplus for the state, a substantial augmentation to the Partnership for Excellence fund is anticipated. Dr. MacDougall informed the Council that he was recently notified that the Chancellor had made an agreement with the former Governor and the state legislature that the Non-Credit Matriculation funds and the Partnership for Excellence funds allocated to Community Colleges on the basis of their non-credit FTES, would be included in the calculation of state per FTES reimbursement for non-credit instruction. Prior to learning about this agreement, there was an expectation that the legislature would approve an augmentation in the non-credit FTES reimbursement rate to make it equivalent to what is received by secondary school districts that offer non-credit instruction. Although Dr. MacDougall disagrees with the way in which this matter was handled by the Chancellor's staff, the bottom line is that the portion of Partnership for Excellence funds provided to the college on the basis of its non-credit FTES needs to be used to offset the operating budget for Continuing Education's instructional program. Therefore, the portion of the college's 1999-2000 Partnership for Excellence allocation that is derived from non-credit FTES will be allocated to the Continuing Education Division prior to the distribution of the remaining funds.

Dr. MacDougall stated that he wants to reserve the right to use Partnership for Excellence funds to respond to an emergency. He defined emergency as a situation that will disrupt the college's ability to perform one or more of its core functions. Although the Vice-Presidents have identified several staffing and equipment replacement needs that must be

addressed for the college to carry out its core activities, they do not constitute an "emergency."

Though Dr. MacDougall is committed to following the Council's directives with respect to the process for the identification for allocating Partnership for Excellence funds, he asked members to consider that their decisions will come at a price because certain functions cannot be supported without additional staffing. Dr. Friedlander offered an example: IRD has functioned without adequate staff to provide network security, even though the need exists. The decision not to fill this position at this time comes with the potential risk of having the college's networks compromised.

Dr. Jack Ullom asked if a discussion concerning the technology issue could be initiated at the next inservice in order to allow faculty the opportunity to offer feedback with respect to technology versus the importance of teaching in the classroom. Dr. Friedlander volunteered to refer this suggestion to the Faculty Enrichment Committee.

## **6.0 ADJOURNMENT**

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 5:10 p.m.