SANTA BARBARA CITY COLLEGE COLLEGE PLANNING COUNCIL September 29, 1998

MINUTES

- PRESENT: Jack Friedlander, Chair, Lynda Fairly, Robin Goodnough, Bill Hamre, Karolyn Hanna, Jim Lynn, Kathy O'Connor, Dan Oroz, John Romo, Pat Snyder, Joey Ferguson and a guest
- ABSENT: Charles Hanson

1.0 CALL TO ORDER

1.1 The meeting was called to order at 1:35 p.m.

2.0 APPROVAL OF MINUTES: September 15, 1998

2.1 The September 15, 1998 meeting minutes will be distributed for review prior to the next meeting. Approval is postponed until the October 6, 1998 meeting

3.0 FACILITIES PLANNING PRESENTATION: Dr. Peter MacDougall

Dr. MacDougall distributed two handouts pertaining to items relating to his 3.1 presentation on Facilities Planning and shared his philosophy of the college's responsibility to anticipate the need for physical facilities in future years. The information contained in the handout from the Chancellors Office and Santa Barbara County Association of Governments outlines the projected growth for this area that is expected to reach about 42,000. The greater part of that growth is expected to impact Goleta and Carpinteria. Given the recession and economic climate at that time the report was produced (1994) the projections might underestimate the actual growth. Dr. MacDougall expressed the opinion that perhaps one of the greatest challenges during the bond measure will be to ensure that the voters recognize that the new facilities requested will improve the community. He recommends that the Council remember the college's mission statement and recognize that the college is trying to do something that will provide education that will lead to jobs. Improved facilities will result in increased enrollments, which will in turn increase our FTES. The Schott and Wake Centers are to be included in the final bond measure. Additional parking, at a cost of up to \$20,000 per space, is also expected to be required in order for new campus facilities to be constructed.

4.0 PARTNERSHIP FOR EXCELLENCE PRESENTATION: Dr. Peter MacDougall

4.1 The release of Partnership for Excellence funds is perhaps one of the most positive things to happen to California Community Colleges in recent years. Guidelines for fund expenditures which will be provided by the Chancellors Office during October 1998. A handout outlining the outcomes for which the funds are intended to promote was distributed. Clarity will be needed as to how Partnership for Excellence project funds differ from those projects that the college currently has underway. The manner in which program outcomes will be changed as a result of these funds will need to be addressed.

5.0 PROPOSED FACILITIES PLAN FOR THE WAKE & SCHOTT CENTERS: John Romo

5.1 John Romo distributed handouts and explained the priorities for renovation and expansion at the Wake and Schott Centers. A \$103,000 commitment in donations has allowed the Schott Center to begin phase I of the landscaping project. Long range plans will focus on bringing the facilities up to quality standards by upgrading classrooms and offices and replacing portable buildings. The addition of a centralized ventilation and heating system is also planned. With the current and anticipated future growth in Goleta, the focus of the Wake Center throughout the remodel process will be to provide increased facilities to the Goleta Valley area by creating a technology based resource center. Future plans could also involve satellite locations to offer programs such as Automotive Service and Technology. A more systematic analysis of parking needs and a traffic study should be completed before expansion plans begin. Each facility will include a child care center which will be separate from the Children's Center. Consideration has been given to buying a house and developing a parent education combination program/facility to achieve this goal.

6.0 DISCUSSION ON CRITERIA TO BE USED IN ALLOCATING PARTNERSHIP FOR EXCELLENCE FUNDS

6.1 In response to Dr. Friedlander's question concerning the guidelines the Council should use in determining the allocation of Partnership for Excellence Funds, Dr. MacDougall recommended that the goals in the College Plan should be the driving force guiding the Council in their decisions. In the absence of clear cut guidelines, Dr. Friedlander suggested considering strategies for achieving the outcomes specified in the Partnership for Excellence Plan.

7.0 RECOMMENDATION FOR FACULTY TO SERVE ON THE DISTRICT TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE:

M/S/C: To ratify the Academic Senate's endorsement that Mark Ferrer, Greg Cain, Michael Young, Karolyn Hanna and Roberto Robledo (alternate) to serve on the District Technology Committee (Fairly/Hanna)

8.0 REVIEW OF CALENDARED MEETING TIMES

8.1 Although Mr. Romo cannot attend the November 4th meeting, the ranking of facilities requests will not be rescheduled. Barbra Louis will attend in his place.

9.0 FUNDRAISING PRIORITIES: Pat Snyder

9.1 The Foundation Director, Pat Snyder was present at the meeting to discuss fundraising priorities for 1998-2000. Through meetings with the vice presidents and deans, the Foundation has established the goals outlined in the handout that Ms. Snyder distributed. Items listed, with the exception of general college endowments, are not in order of priority. The current unrestricted endowment is \$1.5 million; the Foundation's ultimate goal is \$30 million. The College Planning Council will vote on endorsing the Foundation's fundraising priorities on October 20, 1998.

10.00 OTHER

10.1 No further issues were raised at this meeting.

11.0 ADJOURNMENT

11.1 There being no further business the meeting was adjourned at 3:05 p.m.

cc: Cabinet, Deans/Assistant Deans, Department Chairs, Academic Senate, Instructor's Association, CSEA, Classified Council, ASB President, College Information, *The Channels*